A Riemannian approach to strain measures in nonlinear elasticity
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\begin{abstract}

The isotropic Hencky strain energy appears naturally as a distance measure of the deformation gradient to the set SO\((n)\) of rigid rotations in the canonical left-invariant Riemannian metric on the general linear group GL\((n)\). Objectivity requires the Riemannian metric to be left-GL\((n)\)-invariant, isotropy requires the Riemannian metric to be right-O\((n)\)-invariant. The latter two conditions are only satisfied for a three-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on the tangent space of GL\((n)\). Surprisingly, the final result is basically independent of the chosen parameters. In deriving the result, geodesics on GL\((n)\) have to be parameterized and a novel minimization problem, involving the matrix logarithm for non-symmetric arguments, has to be solved.
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1. Introduction

For the deformation gradient \( F = \nabla \varphi \in \text{GL}^+(n) \) let \( U = \sqrt{F^TF} \) be the symmetric right Biot-stretch tensor. We show that the isotropic Hencky strain energy, which was introduced by H. Hencky in 1928 \cite{1} and is defined on the logarithmic strain tensor \( \log U \) via
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measures the geodesic distance of $F$ to the group of rotations $SO(n)$ where $GL(n)$ is viewed as a Riemannian manifold endowed with a left-invariant metric that is also right O(n)-invariant (isotropic), and where the coefficients $\mu, \kappa > 0$ correspond to the shear modulus and the bulk modulus, respectively. Furthermore, the results provide yet another characterization of the polar decomposition $F = RU$, $R \in SO(n)$, $U \in PSym(n)$, since $U$ also provides the minimal Euclidean distance to $SO(n)$, i.e. [2],

$$\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(F, SO(n)) := \min_{Q \in SO(n)} \text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(F, Q) = \min_{Q \in SO(n)} \|F - Q\|^2 = \|F - R\|^2 = \|U - \mathbb{1}\|^2$$

where the Euclidean distance $\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(X, Y) := \|X - Y\|^2$ is the length of the line segment joining $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbb{1} \in GL^+(n)$ is the identity and $\|X\| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(X^T X)}$ denotes the Frobenius matrix norm here and henceforth. For both the Euclidean and the geodesic distance, the orthogonal factor $R = \text{polar}(F)$ in the polar decomposition of $F$ is the nearest rotation to $F$.

### 2. Strain tensors in linear and nonlinear elasticity

We consider an elastic body which in a reference configuration occupies the bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Deformations of the body are prescribed by mappings $\varphi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\varphi(x)$ denotes the deformed position of the material point $x \in \Omega$. Central to elasticity theory is the notion of strain, which is a tensor depending on the deformation such that vanishing strain qualifies as a linear strain tensor: if $\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(\nabla u, so(3)) = 0$ then $\varphi(x) = \nabla x + \hat{b}$ is a linearized rigid movement. This is the case since

$$\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(\nabla u(x), so(3)) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla u(x) = W(x) \in so(3)$$

and $0 = \text{Curl} \nabla u(x) = \text{Curl} W(x)$ implies that $W(x)$ is constant, see [3]. In nonlinear elasticity theory, one assumes that $\varphi \in GL^+(3)$ (no self-interpenetration of matter) and may consider the matrix nearness problem

$$\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(\nabla \varphi, SO(3)) := \min_{Q \in SO(3)} \|\nabla \varphi - Q\|^2 = \min_{Q \in SO(3)} \|Q^T \nabla \varphi - \mathbb{1}\|^2 = \|\sqrt{\nabla \varphi^T \nabla \varphi} - \mathbb{1}\|^2,$$

where the last equality is due to (2). Indeed, the Biot strain tensor $\sqrt{\nabla \varphi^T \nabla \varphi} - \mathbb{1}$ qualifies as a nonlinear strain tensor: if $\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(\nabla \varphi, SO(3)) = 0$ then $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{\nabla x + \hat{b}}$ is a rigid movement. This is the case since

$$\text{dist}_{\text{euclid}}^2(\nabla \varphi, SO(3)) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla \varphi(x) = Q(x) \in SO(3)$$

and $0 = \text{Curl} \nabla \varphi(x) = \text{Curl} Q(x)$ implies that $Q(x)$ is constant, see [3].

In geometrically nonlinear, physically linear isotropic elasticity, the formulation of a boundary value problem of place may now be based on minimizing the quadratic Biot strain energy

$$E(\varphi) = \int_\Omega \mu \text{dev}[(\sqrt{\nabla \varphi^T \nabla \varphi} - \mathbb{1})^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\text{tr}(\sqrt{\nabla \varphi^T \nabla \varphi} - \mathbb{1}))^2] \, dx.$$
for a suitable inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on the tangent space $T_Q \text{GL}(n) = \mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ at the identity $1$, the distance between $F, P \in \text{GL}^+(n)$ can be measured along sufficiently smooth curves. We denote by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \gamma \in C^0([0,1]; \text{GL}^+(n)) \mid \gamma \text{ piecewise differentiable, } \gamma(0) = F, \gamma(1) = P \}$$

the admissible set of curves connecting $F$ and $P$, and by $L(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g_{\gamma(s)}(\gamma'(s), \gamma'(s))} \, ds$ the length of $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$. Then the geodesic distance

$$\text{dist}_{\text{geo}}(F, P) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}} \, L(\gamma)$$

(10)
defines a metric on $\text{GL}^+(n)$. While it is generally difficult to explicitly compute this distance or to find length minimizing curves, it can be shown [4,5] that if the Riemannian metric is defined by an inner product of the form

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle_{\mu, \mu, \kappa} := \mu \langle \text{dev sym } X, \text{dev sym } Y \rangle_{n \times n} + \mu_c \langle \text{skew } X, \text{skew } Y \rangle_{n \times n} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \text{tr } X \cdot \text{tr } Y,$$

(11)
deviation of the form

$$\text{dev } X := X - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr } X \cdot 1, \quad \mu_c \text{ denoting the spin modulus},$$

which is the case if and only if the metric $g$ is right invariant under $O(n)$ [4], then every geodesic $\gamma$ connecting $F$ and $P$ is of the form

$$\gamma(t) = F \exp \left( t \left( \text{sym } \xi - \frac{\mu_c}{\mu} \text{skew } \xi \right) \right) \exp \left( t \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_c}{\mu} \right) \text{skew } \xi \right)$$

(12)for some $\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$, where $\exp : \mathfrak{gl}(n) \to \text{GL}^+(n)$ denotes the matrix exponential, $\text{sym } \xi = \frac{1}{2} (\xi + \xi^T)$ the symmetric part and $\text{skew } \xi = \frac{1}{2} (\xi - \xi^T)$ the skew symmetric part of $\xi$.

Now, according to the classical Hopf–Rinow theorem of differential geometry, there exists a length minimizing geodesic in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $F, P \in \text{GL}^+(n)$. To obtain such a minimizer $\gamma$ (and thus the distance $\text{dist}_{\text{geo}}(F, P) = L(\gamma)$, it therefore remains to find $\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ with

$$P = \gamma(1) = F \exp \left( \text{sym } \xi - \frac{\mu_c}{\mu} \text{skew } \xi \right) \exp \left( 1 + \frac{\mu_c}{\mu} \right) \text{skew } \xi.$$ 

(13)
The existence of such a $\xi$ is clear from the above.

4. The geodesic distance to $\text{SO}(n)$

Although no closed form solution to (13) is known, the equation can be used to obtain a lower bound

$$\text{dist}_{\text{geo}}^2(F, \text{SO}(n)) \geq \min_{Q \in \text{SO}(n)} \text{dist}_{\text{geo}}^2(F, Q) \geq \min_{Q \in \text{SO}(n)} \| \text{Log}(Q^T F) \|_{\mu, \mu, \kappa}^2$$

(14)
for the distance of $F \in \text{GL}^+(n)$ to $\text{SO}(n)$, as well as a simple upper bound

$$\text{dist}_{\text{geo}}^2(F, \text{SO}(n)) \leq \text{dist}_{\text{geo}}^2(F, \text{polar}(F))$$

$$\leq \| \text{log}(\text{polar}(F)^T F) \|_{\mu, \mu, \kappa}^2 = \mu \| \text{dev log}(U) \|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} [\text{tr}(\text{log}(U))]^2$$

(15)
where $F = RU$, $R = \text{polar}(F) \in \text{SO}(n)$, $U = \sqrt{F^T F} \in \text{PSym}(n)$ denotes the polar decomposition of $F$. Finally, we can use a surprising optimality result recently proved by Neff et al. [6]:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $\| \cdot \|$ be the Frobenius matrix norm on $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $F \in \text{GL}^+(n)$. Then the minimum

$$\min_{Q \in \text{SO}(n)} \| \text{Log}(Q^T F) \|^2 = \| \text{Log}(\text{polar}(F)^T F) \|^2 = \| \text{Log}(\sqrt{F^T F}) \|^2 = \| \text{Log}(U) \|^2$$

(16)
is uniquely attained at $Q = \text{polar}(F)$.

An extension of Theorem 4.1, combined with (11), (14) and (15), yields our main result [7]:

---

1 We denote by $\text{log}$ the principal matrix logarithm, while the expression $\text{Log}$ is used to indicate that the infimum is taken over the whole inverse image under exp, i.e. $\min_{Q \in \text{SO}(n)} \| \text{Log}(Q^T F) \|_{\mu, \mu, \kappa}^2 = \min_{\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(n)} \| \text{log}(\xi) \|^2_{\mu, \mu, \kappa}$: $\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}(n), \exp(\xi) = Q F$. Please cite this article in press as: P. Neff et al., A Riemannian approach to strain measures in nonlinear elasticity. C. R. Mecanique (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crme.2013.12.005
Theorem 4.2. Let $g$ be a left invariant Riemannian metric on $GL(n)$ that is also right invariant under $O(n)$, and let $F \in GL^+(n)$. Then:

$$\text{dist}_{\text{geod}}^2(F, SO(n)) = \text{dist}_{\text{geod}}^2(F, \text{polar}(F)) = \mu \| \text{devlog}(U) \|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} [\text{tr}(\text{log } U)]^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Thus the geodesic distance of the deformation gradient $F$ to $SO(n)$ is the isotropic Hencky strain energy of $F$. In particular, the result is independent of the spin modulus $\mu_c > 0$.

Furthermore, for $\mu_c = 0$ (in which case $\text{dist}_{\text{geod}}$ defines only a pseudometric on $GL^+(n)$), Theorem 4.2 still holds.
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